

## ÍNDICE

### INTRODUCCIÓN: (1 pág.)

#### 1. Explicación sobre el avance tecnológico.

- 1.1. Schneier, B. (2015). Data and Goliath: The hidden battles to collect your data and control your world. WW Norton & Company.
- 1.2. Weinberger, D. (2019). Everyday chaos: Technology, complexity, and how we're thriving in a new world of possibility. Harvard Business Press.
- 1.3. Renieris, E. M. (2023). Beyond Data: Reclaiming Human Rights at the Dawn of the Metaverse. MIT Press.
- 1.4. Brubaker, R. (2022). Hyperconnectivity and Its Discontents. John Wiley & Sons.
- 1.5. Challenges And Opportunities For News Media And Journalism In An Increasingly Digital, Mobile, And Social Media Environment (2016). Council Of Europe. <https://edoc.coe.int/en/media/7373-epub-challenges-and-opportunities-for-news-media-and-journalism-in-an-increasingly-digital-mobile-and-social-media-environment.html>.

#### 2. Cuáles son las empresas de tecnología y sus exponentes más representativos (Google, Amazon, Meta, Apple, Microsoft).

- 2.1. Vivian Newman Pont, Daniel Ospina-Celis y Juan Carlos Upegui. Festín de datos. Empresas y datos personales en América Latina. Bogotá. Editorial Dejusticia, 2020.
- 2.2. Wexler, R. (2020). Privacy as privilege: The stored communications Act and internet evidence. Harv. L. Rev., 134, 2721. <https://harvardlawreview.org/print/vol-134/privacy-as-privilege/>.
- 2.3. Claire Methven O'Brien, Rikke Frank Jørgensen, Benn Finlay Hogan (2021). Tech Giants and Human Rights: Investor expectations. The Danish Institute for Human Rights. [https://www.humanrights.dk/files/media/document/Tech%20giants%20and%20human%20rights\\_2021.pdf](https://www.humanrights.dk/files/media/document/Tech%20giants%20and%20human%20rights_2021.pdf).
- 2.4. Bessen, J. (2022). The New Goliaths. Yale University Press. (libro).
- 2.5. Le Secteur Des Technologies Et Les Plans D'action Nationaux Sur Les Entreprises Et Les Droits Humains. The Danish Institute for human rights. <https://globalnaps.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/le-secteur-des-technologies-et-les-plans-d-action-nationaux-sur-les-entreprises-et-les-droits-humains-un-supplement-thematique-juillet-2020-dihr-and-gpd.pdf>.

- 2.6. Jørgensen, R. F. (2019). Human rights in the age of platforms. The MIT Press.

### 3. Empresas de tecnología y su forma de operación.

- 3.1. The Age of Surveillance Capitalism. (2019). Shoshana Zuboff. Published by PublicAffairs.
- 3.2. La RELE visitó empresas y organizaciones de internet, para dialogar sobre prácticas en derechos humanos y tecnología. <https://www.oas.org/es/cidh/expresion/showarticle.asp?artID=1271&IID=2>.
- 3.3. G'sell, F., & Martin-Bariteau, F. (2022). The Impact of Blockchains for Human Rights, Democracy, and the Rule of Law. Council of Europe Report. <https://rm.coe.int/report-on-blockchains-en/1680a8ffco>.
- 3.4. United Nations, Human Rights Council, Annual report of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, A/HRC/50/56 The practical application of the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights to the activities of technology companies (21 April 2022), available at <https://undocs.org/en/A/HRC/50/56>.
- 3.5. Valtysson, Bjarki, Jørgensen, Rikke Frank and Munkholm, Johan Lau. "Co-constitutive complexity Unpacking Google's privacy policy and terms of service post-GDPR" Nordicom Review, vol.42, no.1, 2021, pp.124-140. <https://doi.org/10.2478/nor-2021-0033>

## PRIMER TEMA: CONCEPTO DE DEBIDA DILIGENCIA Y DISCUSIÓN SOBRE USO ("END-USE") (1 PÁGINA):

1. **Debida diligencia en DDHH y cuáles fueron los parámetros básicos dados por Naciones Unidas.**
- 1.1. Informe del Grupo de Trabajo sobre empresas y derechos humanos a la Asamblea General, octubre de 2018 (A/73/163).
- 1.2. The UN Guiding Principles in the Age of Technology. (septiembre 2020). <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/B-Tech/introduction-ungp-age-technology.pdf>.

- 1.3. Addressing Business Model Related Human Rights Risks. (2020). [https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/B-Tech/B\\_Tech\\_Foundational\\_Paper.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/B-Tech/B_Tech_Foundational_Paper.pdf).
- 1.4. Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (2011). United Nations Human Rights. Office Of The High Commissioner. [https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/guidingprinciplesbusinesshr\\_en.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/guidingprinciplesbusinesshr_en.pdf).
- 1.5. The Santa Clara Principles: on transparency and accountability in content moderation. (2018). <https://santaclaraprinciples.org/>.
- 1.6. The Feasibility of Mandating Downstream Human Rights Due Diligence: Reflections from technology company practices. <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/issues/business/2022-09-13/tech-downstream-hrdd.pdf>.
- 1.8. A/HRC/50/56: The practical application of the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights to the activities of technology companies - Report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2022). <https://www.ohchr.org/en/documents/thematic-reports/ahrc5056-practical-application-guiding-principles-business-and-human>.
- 1.9. Reynolds (2020). Human rights due diligence within the tech sector: Developments and challenges. <https://www.business-humanrights.org/fr/blog/human-rights-due-diligence-within-the-tech-sector-developments-and-challenges/>.
- 1.10. Human rights due diligence and internet infrastructure. The Danish Human Rights Institute. <https://www.humanrights.dk/publications/human-rights-due-diligence-internet-infrastructure>.
2. **El proceso de debida diligencia:** Incorporar la conducta empresarial responsable a las políticas y sistemas de gestión, Identificar y evaluar los impactos negativos reales y potenciales asociados a las actividades, productos o servicios de la empresa, Detener, prevenir y mitigar los impactos negativos, Hacer un seguimiento de la implementación y los resultados, Informar sobre cómo se abordan los impactos, Reparar o colaborar en la reparación del impacto cuando corresponda.
  - 2.1. Bonnitcha, J., & McCorquodale, R. (2017). The concept of 'due diligence' in the UN guiding principles on business and human rights. *European Journal of International Law*, 28(3), 899-919.
  - 2.2. Ibáñez-M., P. & Ordóñez-S., V., Papel de las empresas y de los Estados en la debida diligencia en derechos humanos, 24 *International Law*, Revista Colombiana de Derecho Internacional, 219-246 (2014).

- 2.3. A/HRC/17/31 (2011) Report of the Special Representative of the Secretary General on the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises, John Ruggie. [https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/A-HRC-17-31\\_AEV.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/A-HRC-17-31_AEV.pdf).
  - 2.4. Informe del Representante Especial del Secretario General para la cuestión de los derechos humanos y las empresas transnacionales y otras empresas, John Ruggie. Principios Rectores sobre las empresas y los derechos humanos: puesta en práctica del marco de las Naciones Unidas para "proteger, respetar y remediar". <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G11/121/93/PDF/G1112193.pdf?OpenElement>.
  - 2.5. Reflections On the Status of Business Respect For Human Rights in the Technology Sector. (2021). <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/reflections-status-business-respect.pdf>.
- 3. El uso final – end use. Responsabilidad de las empresas que proveen servicios de tecnología y el uso del mismo por terceros.**
- 3.1. Taking Action to Address Human Rights Risks Related to End-Use A B-Tech Foundational Paper. United Nations. <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/B-Tech/taking-action-address-human-rights-risks.pdf>.
  - 3.2. Informe del Relator Especial sobre la promoción y protección del derecho a la libertad de opinión y de expresión: capítulo IV Responsabilidades en materia de derechos humanos de los proveedores de acceso digital. A/HRC/35/22. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G17/077/51/PDF/G1707751.pdf?OpenElement>.
  - 3.3. Rights-Respecting Investment in Technology Companies. (2021). <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Business/B-Tech/B-Tech-Briefing-Investment.pdf>.
  - 3.4. Empowering human rights in the State-business nexus: Digital technologies and human rights due diligence. [https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2022-01/Blog\\_post\\_GNI\\_Blog.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2022-01/Blog_post_GNI_Blog.pdf).

- 3.5. B-Tech Session on “Mandating Responsible Business Conduct in the Technology Sector –Advancing the UNGPs in Regulatory Debates” 11th UN Forum on Business and Human Rights, 29 November 2022. <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/issues/business/b-tech/2022-12-19/Summary-Note-B-Tech%20Annual-Forum.pdf>.

## SEGUNDO TEMA: PLATAFORMAS DE REDES SOCIALES, LIBERTAD DE EXPRESIÓN Y PRIVACIDAD. (2 págs.)

### 1. Libertad de expresión – significado y uso en las redes sociales.

- 1.1. Dias Oliva, T. (2020). Content moderation technologies: Applying human rights standards to protect freedom of expression. *Human Rights Law Review*, 20(4), 607-640.
- 1.2. Asamblea General de las Naciones Unidas, Declaración Universal de Derechos Humanos, Resolución A.G. 217 (III), U.N. Doc. A/RES/217(III), 10 de diciembre de 1948, artículo 19, disponible en: <http://bit.ly/1hHDu9u>.
- 1.3. UNITED NATIONS HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL. 2016. “Report of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression” [Freedom of expression, states and the private sector in the digital age]. A/HRC/32/38. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G16/095/15/PDF/G1609515.pdf?OpenElement>.
- 1.4. Naciones Unidas. Informe del Relator Especial sobre la promoción y protección del derecho a la libertad de opinión y expresión, David Kaye. A/70/361.
- 1.5. LANZA, Edison. Estándares para una Internet Libre, Abierta e Incluyente [en línea]. Relatoría Especial para la Libertad de Expresión de la Comisión Interamericana de Derechos Humanos, 2016, p. 42.
- 1.6. A Human Rights approach to online content regulation. OHCHR. (22 enero 2019). [https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Expression/Factsheet\\_2.pdf](https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Expression/Factsheet_2.pdf).

### 2. Redes sociales, su intención inicial y su manejo hoy en día. Moderación de contenido

- 2.1. Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.

- 2.2. Kadri, T. (2022). Juridical Discourse for Platforms. Harvard Law Review. <https://harvardlawreview.org/forum/vol-136/juridical-discourse-for-platforms/>.
- 2.3. Douek, E. (2022). Content Moderation as Systems Thinking. Harv. L. Rev., 136, 526. <https://harvardlawreview.org/print/vol-136/content-moderation-as-systems-thinking/>.
- 2.4. Kaye, D. (2019). Speech Police: The Global Struggle to Govern the Internet. *Columbia Global Reports*. (libro).
- 2.5. Roberts, S. (2021). Behind the Screen Content Moderation in the Shadows of Social Media. Yale University Press. (libro).
- 2.6. CDMSI (2021). Content moderation - Guidance Note (2021). <https://edoc.coe.int/en/internet/10198-content-moderation-guidance-note.html#>.
- 3. Preocupación por la privacidad en torno a la afectación del libre albedrío y autonomía en la toma de decisiones.**
  - 3.1.** Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.
  - 3.2.** Bertoni, E. A. (2016). Internet y derechos humanos II: Aportes para la discusión de políticas públicas en América Latina. *Ciudad Autónoma de Bs. As. Argentina.: Ediciones del Jinete Insomne. Compilado por Eduardo Andrés Bertoni.-*.
  - 3.3. Nissim, Kobbi and Wood, Alexandra, Is Privacy Privacy? (September 21, 2018). 376 Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 20170358 (2018), Berkman Klein Center Research Publication No. 2018-5, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3255646>.
  - 3.4. El derecho a la privacidad en la era digital Informe del Alto Comisionado de las Naciones Unidas para los Derechos Humanos. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G18/239/61/PDF/G1823961.pdf?OpenElement>.
  - 3.5. UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY. 2017. "Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to privacy" [Big data and open data]. A/72/540. A. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/documents/thematic-reports/a73438-report-special-rapporteur-right-privacy>.
  - 3.6. (Report) Human Rights and Human Agency in the Digital World: The Right to Privacy and Information. <https://digitalcooperation.org/privacy/>.

## TERCER TEMA: PLATAFORMAS DE REDES SOCIALES, DESINFORMACIÓN Y DISCURSO DE ODIO (2 págs.)

### 1. Difusión de información parcializada.

- 1.1. Wu, L., Morstatter, F., Carley, K. M., & Liu, H. (2019). Misinformation in social media: definition, manipulation, and detection. ACM SIGKDD explorations newsletter, 21(2), 80-90.
- 1.2. Luo, H., Cai, M., & Cui, Y. (2021). Spread of misinformation in social networks: Analysis based on Weibo tweets. Security and Communication Networks, 2021, 1-23.
- 1.3. Moa Eriksson Krutrök & Simon Lindgren (2022) Social media amplification loops and false alarms: Towards a Sociotechnical understanding of misinformation during emergencies, The Communication Review, 25:2, 81-95, DOI: 10.1080/10714421.2022.2035165.
- 1.4. Bradshaw, Samantha y Philip N. Howard. "The Global Disinformation Order: 2019 Global Inventory of Organised Social Media Manipulation". The Computational Propaganda Project. Disponible en <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1209&context=scholcom>.
- 1.5. Colliander, J. (2019). "This is fake news": Investigating the role of conformity to other users' views when commenting on and spreading disinformation in social media. Computers in Human Behavior, 97, 202-215.  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S074756321930130X>.

### 2. Discurso de odio y manejo del mismo en las redes sociales.

- 2.1. Broussard, M. (2023). More than a Glitch: Confronting Race, Gender, and Ability Bias in Tech. MIT Press.
- 2.2. HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL. Minority issues, Report of the Special Rapporteur on minority issues. A/HRC/46/57 - OHCHR.
- 2.3. Miranda, S., Malini, F., Di Fatima, B., & Cruz, J. (2022, May). I love to hate! The Racist hate Speech in Social Media. In ECSM 2022 9th European Conference on Social Media. Academic Conferences and publishing limited.
- 2.4. Isasi, A. C., & Juanatey, A. G. (2016). El discurso del odio en las redes sociales: un estado de la cuestión. Ajuntament de Barcelona.  
[https://ajuntament.barcelona.cat/bcnvsodi/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Informe\\_discurso-del-odio\\_ES.pdf](https://ajuntament.barcelona.cat/bcnvsodi/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Informe_discurso-del-odio_ES.pdf).

- 2.5. Luiza Bandeira, Donara Barojan, Roberta Braga, Jose Luis Peñarredonda, Maria Fernanda Pérez Argüello (2019). *Disinformation in democracies: Strengthening digital resilience in Latin America*. Atlantic Council. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/disinformation-democracies-strengthening-digital-resilience-latin-america/>.
- 2.6. Lanza, E. (2017). Estándares para una Internet libre, abierta e incluyente. Relatoría Especial para la Libertad de Expresión. Comisión Interamericana de Derechos Humanos, Organización de los Estados Americanos. OAS Cataloging-in-Publication Data. [http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/expresion/docs/cd/sistema\\_interamericano\\_de\\_derechos\\_humanos/index\\_ELERLI.html](http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/expresion/docs/cd/sistema_interamericano_de_derechos_humanos/index_ELERLI.html).
- 2.7. La división según Tareen et al en: discurso de odio religioso, de género, y racista. Tareen, M. K., Tareen, H. K., Noreen, S., & Tariq, M. (2021). Hate Speech and social media: A Systematic Review. Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry, 12(8).
- 2.8. Young, J., Swammy, P., & Danks, D. (2018). Beyond AI: Responses to hate speech and disinformation. Unpublished paper presented at Carnegie Mellon University). Retrieved from <http://jessicayoung.com/research/Beyond-AI-Responses-to-Hate-Speech-and-Disinformation.pdf>.
- 2.9. Irene Sacchetti & Joy El Hajaly (23 de marzo de 2021). Hate speech, social media and minorities. report of the interactive dialogue with special rapporteur on minorities issues. [https://www.gicj.org/images/2021/Final\\_Report\\_on\\_ID\\_with\\_SR\\_Minorities-A.pdf](https://www.gicj.org/images/2021/Final_Report_on_ID_with_SR_Minorities-A.pdf).
- 2.10. Gelashvili, T. (2018). Hate Speech on Social Media: Implications of private regulation and governance gaps. <https://lup.lub.lu.se/luur/download?func=downloadFile&recordId=8952399&fileId=8952403>.

### 3. Casos de discurso de odio en redes sociales.

- 3.1. Arzú, M. E. C. Discurso de odio y racismo en las redes sociales. <https://fundacionmag.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Libro-Racismo-en-redes-sociales.pdf>.

- 3.2. Rollnert Liern, G. (2020). Redes sociales y discurso del odio: Perspectiva internacional. IDP. Revista de Internet, Derecho y Política, 2020, num. 31, p. 1-14. <https://raco.cat/index.php/IDP/article/view/373682/467289>.
- 3.3. UNESCO. (2015) Countering online hate speech. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000233231>.
- 3.4. Jaki, S./De Smedt, T./Gwóźdź, M./Panchal, R./Rossa, A./De Pauw, G. (2018). Online hatred of women in the Incels.me forum: Linguistic analysis and automatic detection. Journal of Language Aggression and Conflict. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334306160\\_Online\\_hatred\\_of\\_women\\_in\\_the\\_Incelsme\\_Forum\\_Linguistic\\_analysis\\_and\\_automatic\\_detection](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334306160_Online_hatred_of_women_in_the_Incelsme_Forum_Linguistic_analysis_and_automatic_detection).
- 3.5. Laub (2019). Hate Speech on Social Media: Global Comparisons. <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/hate-speech-social-media-global-comparisons>.
- 3.6. M. R. JABLONSKA and Z. POLKOWSKI, "Internet Hate speech – case study of Poland, Romania, India and Bulgaria," 2020 12th International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI), Bucharest, Romania, 2020, pp. 1-5, <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9223209>.
- 3.7. **Caso Myanmar.**
  - 3.7.1. Report of the detailed findings of the Independent International Fact-Finding Mission on Myanmar. Report of the detailed findings of the Independent. International Fact-Finding Mission on Myanmar. A/HRC/39/CRP. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/1643079>.
  - 3.7.2. Facebook says human rights report shows it should do more in Myanmar. <https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/latest-news/facebook-says-human-rights-report-shows-it-should-do-more-in-myanmar/>.
  - 3.7.3. LEE, Ronan. Extreme Speech| Extreme Speech in Myanmar: The Role of State Media in the Rohingya Forced Migration Crisis. International Journal of Communication, [S.l.], v. 13, p. 22, jul. 2019. ISSN 1932-8036. Available at: <<https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/10123>>.
  - 3.7.4. Naing, M. H. (2022). Local Efforts To Influence Global Level Policy Amid Coup: The Case Of Facebook In Myanmar. School of public policy. <https://spp.cmu.ac.th/local-efforts-to-influence-global-level-policy-amid-coup-the-case-of-facebook-in-myanmar/>.

3.7.5. Smith, R., & Smith, N. (2022). Use and Abuse of Social Media in Myanmar between 2010 and 2022. Athens JL, 8, 309.

## **CUARTO TEMA: EMPRESAS DE SERVICIOS EN LA NUBE Y MEDIO AMBIENTE 2 págs.**

### **1. Impacto ambiental de las empresas de almacenamiento en la nube, gasto de energía.**

- 1.1. Unión Europea, DG CNECT. Energy-efficient Cloud Computing Technologies and Policies for an Eco-friendly Cloud Market. Bruselas, noviembre de 2020. Disponible en: <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/energy-efficient-cloud-computing-technologies-and-policies-eco-friendly-cloud-market>
- 1.2. Kumar, P. (2017). Impact of Cloud Computing on Environmental Sustainability. International Journal of Science, Engineering and Computer Technology, 7(3/4), 213-214. <https://www.proquest.com/openview/c26542490ad602b7303b293f58a5f473/1.pdf?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2032130>.
- 1.3. Beardmore, M. (12 oct 2020). Uncovering the Environmental Impact of Cloud Computing. Earth org. <https://earth.org/environmental-impact-of-cloud-computing/>.
- 1.4. Cisco, U. (2020). Cisco annual internet report (2018–2023) white paper. Cisco: San Jose, CA, USA, 10(1), 1-35. <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/executive-perspectives/annual-internet-report/white-paper-c11-741490.html>.
- 1.5. Glanz, James. “The Cloud Factories: Power, Pollution, and the Internet.” New York Times, September 22, 2012. <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/09/23/technology/data-centers-waste-vast-amounts-of-energy-belying-industry-image.html>.
- 1.6. Jardim, Elizabeth. “Microsoft, Google, Amazon – Who’s the Biggest Climate Hypocrite?” Greenpeace, January 27, 2020. <https://www.greenpeace.org/usa/microsoft-google-amazon-energy-oil-ai-climate-hypocrite/>.

### **2. Emisiones de CO2 y afectación del medioambiente: cuál es su afectación principal.**

- 2.1. Wajid, U., Pernici, B., & Francis, G. (2013, October). Energy efficient and CO2 aware cloud computing: Requirements and case study. In 2013 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (pp. 121-126). IEEE.

- 2.2. IEA (2022). Data centres and data transmission networks. <https://www.iea.org/reports/data-centres-and-data-transmission-networks>.
- 2.3. CEPAL, N. (2021). Tecnologías digitales para un nuevo futuro. <https://repositorio.cepal.org/bitstream/handle/11362/46816/1/S2000961es.pdf>.
- 2.4. UN (22 de noviembre de 2021). The growing footprint of digitalisation. <https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/37439/FB027.pdf>.
- 2.5. Maguey, H. (Octubre 15 de 2020). La transmisión por Internet genera gran contaminación. Gaceta UNAM. <https://www.gaceta.unam.mx/la-transmision-por-internet-genera-gran-contaminacion/#:~:text=La%20transmisi%C3%B3n%20de%20datos,y%20la%20capacidad%20de%20los>.
- 2.6. Monserrate, S. G. (2022). The cloud is material: on the environmental impacts of computation and data storage. MIT. <https://mit-serc.pubpub.org/pub/the-cloud-is-material/release/1>.

### 3. Qué es el ‘green cloud’ reconocido como una solución al problema de emisiones de CO2.

- 3.1. Wajid, U., Cappiello, C., Plebani, P., Pernici, B., Mehandjiev, N., Vitali, M., & Sampaio, P. (2015). On achieving energy efficiency and reducing CO 2 footprint in cloud computing. IEEE transactions on cloud computing, 4(2), 138-151.
- 3.2. B. Wadhwa and A. Verma, "Energy saving approaches for Green Cloud Computing: A review," 2014 Recent Advances in Engineering and Computational Sciences (RAECS), Chandigarh, India, 2014, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/RAECS.2014.6799608.
- 3.3. Kumar, S., & Buyya, R. (2012). Green Cloud Computing and Environmental Sustainability. Harnessing Green It, 315–339. doi:10.1002/9781118305393.ch16.
- 3.4. Patil, A., & Patil, D. (2019, February). An analysis report on green cloud computing current trends and future research challenges. In Proceedings of International Conference on Sustainable Computing in Science, Technology and Management (SUSCOM), Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-India.
- 3.5. Unión Europea. Study on Greening Cloud Computing and Electronic Communications Services and Networks: Towards Climate Neutrality by 2050. Report/Study. 22 de marzo de 2022. <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/study-greening-cloud-computing-and-electronic-communications-services-and-networks-towards-climate>.

- 3.6. Koomey, Jonathan, and Eric Masanet. "Does Not Compute: Avoiding Pitfalls Assessing the Internet's Energy and Carbon Impacts." *Joule* 5, no. 7 (2021): 1625–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2021.05.007>.

## QUINTO TEMA: EMPRESAS DE SERVICIOS DE VIGILANCIA Y PRIVACIDAD.

### 1. Venta de información al Estado por parte de empresas de vigilancia (backdoor y recolección de información por medio de redes sociales).

- 1.1. Buchanan, B. (2020). *The hacker and the state: Cyber attacks and the new normal of geopolitics*. Harvard University Press.
- 1.2. Skrynnikova, I. V. (2020). Analogical reasoning in uncovering the meaning of digital-technology terms: the case of backdoor. *Journal of Computer-Assisted Linguistic Research*, 4, 23-46. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341653886\\_Analogical\\_reasoning\\_in\\_uncovering\\_the\\_meaning\\_of\\_digital-technology\\_terms\\_the\\_case\\_of\\_backdoor](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341653886_Analogical_reasoning_in_uncovering_the_meaning_of_digital-technology_terms_the_case_of_backdoor).
- 1.3. Harvard Law Review. (2019). Cooperation or resistance? The role of tech companies in government surveillance. *Harvard Law Review: Developments in the Law*, 131, 1722-1741. <https://harvardlawreview.org/print/vol-131/cooperation-or-resistance-the-role-of-tech-companies-in-government-surveillance/>.
- 1.4. Harvard Law Review (2018). Standing, Surveillance, and Technology Companies. <https://harvardlawreview.org/print/vol-131/standing-surveillance-and-technology-companies/>.
- 1.5. Deibert, R. (2013). Shutting the Backdoor: The Perils of National Security and Digital Surveillance Programs. Strategic Studies Working Group Papers. [https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/cdfai/pages/377/attachments/original/1414207665/Shutting\\_the\\_Backdoor.pdf?1414207665](https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/cdfai/pages/377/attachments/original/1414207665/Shutting_the_Backdoor.pdf?1414207665).
- 1.6. Use of spyware to surveil journalists and human rights defenders Statement by UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Michelle Bachelet. (19 de julio de 2021). <https://www.ohchr.org/en/2021/07/use-spyware-surveil-journalists-and-human-rights-defendersstatement-un-high-commissioner>.
- 1.7. ANDREJEVIC, Mark. Big Data, Big Questions| The Big Data Divide. *International Journal of Communication*, [S.l.], v. 8, p. 17, jun. 2014. ISSN

1932-8036.

Available

at:

<https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2161/1163>.

## 2. Tecnología intrusiva.

- 2.1. Manrique, E. (2 abril de 2020). Tecnologías intrusivas, otro virus. <https://www.politicaexterior.com/tecnologias-intrusivas-virus/>.
- 2.2. Digital cooperation (2022). Research: The Global State of Digital Security (2022 Report). <https://digitalcooperation.org/security/>.
- 2.3. Human rights implications of the development, use and transfer of new technologies in the context of counter-terrorism and countering and preventing violent extremism. (31 de marzo 2023). A/HRC/52/39. <https://news.un.org/en/story/2023/03/1134552>.
- 2.4. Report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. The right to privacy in the digital age. A/HRC/51/17 (2022). <https://www.ohchr.org/en/documents/thematic-reports/ahrc5117-right-privacy-digital-age>.
- 2.5. Interactive dialogue with the High Commissioner on the report identifying recent trends and challenges with regard to the human right to privacy. (16 de septiembre 2022). <https://www.ohchr.org/en/speeches/2022/09/interactive-dialogue-high-commissioner-report-identifying-recent-trends-and>.
- 2.6. Spyware: Rights experts push for surveillance technology moratorium. (12 de agosto de 2021). <https://www.ohchr.org/en/press-releases/2021/08/spyware-scandal-un-experts-call-moratorium-sale-life-threatening>.

## 3. Tecnología de reconocimiento facial.

- 3.1. Venturini, J; Garay, V. Reconocimiento facial en América Latina: tendencias en la implementación de una tecnología perversa. Alsur.
- 3.2. Gates, K.A.: Our Biometric Future. Facial Recognition Technology and the Culture of Surveillance. New York University Press, New York (2011). <https://academic.oup.com/nyu-press-scholarship-online/book/20850>.
- 3.3. Waelen, R.A. The struggle for recognition in the age of facial recognition technology. AI Ethics 3, 215–222 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00146-8>.
- 3.4. Smith, M., Miller, S. The ethical application of biometric facial recognition technology. AI & Soc 37, 167–175 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01199-9>. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00146-021-01199-9>.
- 3.5. Andrejevic, M., & Selwyn, N. (2022). Facial recognition. John Wiley & Sons.

- 3.6. Nelson, L. S. (2010). America identified: Biometric technology and society. MIT Press.

#### 4. Prácticas de inteligencia estatal – vigilancia.

- 4.1. Inteligencia estatal en internet y redes sociales: el caso colombiano / Lucía Camacho Gutiérrez, Daniel Ospina Celis, Juan Carlos Upegui Mejía. – Bogotá: Editorial. Dejusticia, 2022.
- 4.2. Informe del Relator Especial sobre el derecho a la privacidad. A/HRC/34/60. Asamblea general de Naciones Unidas. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G17/260/57/PDF/G1726057.pdf?OpenElement>.
- 4.3. Levy, K. (2022). Data driven: truckers, technology, and the new workplace surveillance. Princeton University Press.
- 4.4. Report On The Implementation Of The Recommendation Of The Council Concerning Guidelines Governing The Protection Of Privacy And Transborder Flows Of Personal Data. (2021). Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. [https://one.oecd.org/document/C\(2021\)42/en/pdf](https://one.oecd.org/document/C(2021)42/en/pdf).
- 4.5. UNITED NATIONS HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL (2019). “Report of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression” . A/HRC/41/35. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G19/148/79/PDF/G1914879.pdf?OpenElement>.
- 4.6. UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY. 2016. “Report of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression”. A/71/373. (Inicio pág 9). <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N16/278/30/PDF/N1627830.pdf?OpenElement>.
- 4.7. Informe del Relator Especial sobre la promoción y protección del derecho a la libertad de opinión y expresión, Frank La Rue. A/HRC/23/40. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G13/133/06/PDF/G1313306.pdf?OpenElement>.

“OTROS”.

- **Debida diligencia y el uso responsable de AI.**

- OECD. (2021). AI in business and finance, chapter 3: Human rights due diligence through responsible AI. <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/31e7edcc-en/index.html?itemId=/content/component/31e7edcc-en#bibliod1e7967>.
- Kriebitz, A., & Lütge, C. (2020). Artificial intelligence and human rights: a business ethical assessment. Business and Human Rights Journal, 5(1), 84-104. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/business-and-human-rights-journal/article/abs/artificial-intelligence-and-human-rights-a-business-ethical-assessment/33D07AB42FC76A4BA49B03F600186E1B>.
- Artificial Intelligence Index Report 2023. Stanford University. [https://aiindex.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/HAI\\_AI-Index-Report\\_2023.pdf](https://aiindex.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/HAI_AI-Index-Report_2023.pdf).
- High-level expert group on artificial intelligence. <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/expert-group-ai>.